

**ANALYSIS - Summary**

Q3	Please write your position in the Federation				
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (President/General Secretary/Board member)	9	56%	60%	60%
	2 (Sport Director/Head Coach/National Coach)	1	6%	7%	67%
	3 (Referee Director)	0	0%	0%	67%
	4 (Other:)	5	31%	33%	100%
Valid	Valid	15	94%	100%	

Average	2.1	Std. deviation	1.4
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q4	Topic 1: Weight Categories Objective: Gender Equality requirements harmonizationSupporter: JJIF Board (26.Sep.) based on the findings Technical Congress 2018Proposal (summary): The JJIF Board is in favor to equalize number weight (Jiu-Jitsu and Fighting) categories on seven (remain male and add two extra for female) as follows: Seniors and Juniors (U21): Men : -56, -62, -69, -77, -85, -94, +94 Women : -45, -48, -52, -57, -63, -70, +70 The Technical Commission shall adjust U18 / U16 according this system.				
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	3	19%	23%	23%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	0	0%	0%	23%
	3 (NO, we do not support and we propose:)	10	63%	77%	100%
Valid	Valid	13	81%	100%	

Average	2.5	Std. deviation	0.9
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q4_3_text	Q4 (NO, we do not support and we propose: )				
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	in the ppt presentation of the technical congress sent out by the jjif sport director, in slide 37, the technical delegates proposed one option for 6 categories and one for 7 categories. the proposal included in the agenda in malmö is none of them. we would like to understand the reasons why the board has overruled the recommendations from the countries. first, we believe that we should give the choice to the congress in malmö to decide between 6 or 7 per categories. we prefer 6 categories cost less money in organization of events and in travel expenses for the delegations. second, if the 7 categories is decided then we prefer the option ii of the ppt presentation rather than the one proposed now in the agenda. we have reviewed the previous championships (senior, junior and aspirant) which show evidence that there will be very few women competitors in -45kg, and probably in men -56 (although less of an issue) we	1	6%	11%	11%

	will bring with us to malmö the statistics of participants, please make sure that you also have the facts on your side so that we can have a fruitful discussion.				
	dont think this will solve the problem (less female athletes) but if its necessary ok	1	6%	11%	22%
	6-1 weight categories – in the ppt presentation of the technical congress sent out by the jjif sport director, in slide 37, the technical delegates proposed one option for 6 categories and one for 7 categories. the proposal included in the agenda in malmö is none of them. we would like to understand the reasons why the board has overruled the recommendations from the countries. first, we believe that we should give the choice to the congress in malmö to decide between 6 or 7 per categories. 6 categories cost less money in organization of events and in travel expenses for the delegations. second, if the 7 categories is decided then we prefer the option ii of the ppt presentation rather than the one proposed now in the agenda. we have reviewed the previous championships (senior, junior and aspirant) which show evidence that there will be very few women competitors in -45kg, and probably in men -56 (although less of an issue) we will bring with us to malmö the statistics of participants, please make sure that you also have the facts on your side so that we can have a fruitful discussion.	1	6%	11%	33%
	men - ok as proposed women - we believe -45 kg to be too low for healthy +21 year old athletes. we propose -48, -52, -57, -63, -70, -80, +80	1	6%	11%	44%
	for men we are fine with the proposal, for female we suggest: - 48, -52, -57, -63, -70, -78 and + 78	1	6%	11%	56%
	if distribution of number of categories requires equal number of categories, the lowest category in female is not appropriate. the distribution of categories should start at existing 48 kg and increase all other categories – having in mind more categories means less competitors in a category.	1	6%	11%	67%
	there is national in denmark and international not enough women to fill out more classes so far.	1	6%	11%	78%
	we can equalize the number of weight categories, but we will not have more female competitors... this means female categories with even less participants, when we have already categories with a lower number nevertheless, if this is essential to reach the olympic goal, ok	1	6%	11%	89%
	men : -56, -62, -69, -77, -85, -94, +94 women : -48, -52, -57, -63, -70, -78, +70	1	6%	11%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%	

Q5	<p><b>Topic 2: Selection of The World Games 2021 disciplines and categories Objective: based on 96 athletes which are allowed to participate in the World Games the categories for The World Games must be determinate. Supporter: Technical Congress 2018 approved by JJIF Board (26.Sep.)Proposal (summary): The JJIF Board is in favor to keep 3 disciplines (Duo Jiu-Jitsu and Fighting) as follows: Discipline Categories #</b></p> <p>-62kg -69kg -77kg -85kg 24 athletes Ju-Jitsu Fighting (M)  -48kg -57kg -63kg -70kg 24 athletes Ju-Jitsu Fighting (W)  -69kg -77kg -85kg 18 athletes Jiu-Jitsu Ne-Waza (M)  -48kg -57kg -63kg 18 athletes Jiu-Jitsu Ne-Waza (W)  Open weight No additional athletes Jiu-Jitsu Ne-Waza (W)  Open weight No additional athletes Jiu-Jitsu Duo  mixed 12 athletes (6 M / 6 W) Mixed team competition  3 men / 3 women 5 categories of all 3 disciplines No additional athletes</p> <p><b>96 athletes in total Qualification period shall be</b></p> <p><b>01. Jan. 2019 to 31. Dec. 2020 according: JJIF Ranking and Qualification systemFurther Information:</b>  <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf</a></p>					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		1 (YES, we support)	6	38%	60%	60%
		2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	10%	70%
		3 (NO, we do not support and we propose)	3	19%	30%	100%
Valid	Valid	10	63%	100%		

Average	1.7	Std. deviation	0.9
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q5_3_text</b>	<b>Q5 (NO, we do not support and we propose )</b>				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	- is it right and consistent with ioc principles to have a competition without any competitor above 85kg men and 70kg women? can this be considered as discrimination compared to lighter competitors who can participate in categories above? - based on previous championships, we don't believe that the -48kg women category has enough participants to be representative enough. for women we recommend -52kg instead of -48kg in both fighting and ne-waza. - we don't understand why an open in jiu-jitsu newaza, given that no additional participants will be able to take part. is it just to add 2 more medals? - will you please explain in malmö the exact way the mixed team competition will work. will the categories will be selected by a draw? when? ...	1	6%	33%	33%
	our have problem with the sugession because in fighting and ne waza has several competitor whos weight is over then 85 kg (men) or 70 kg (women). they are closed out for the chance to fight at the wold games. it is make difference between the fighters.	1	6%	33%	67%
	we do not agree exclusion of 94 kg male. additionally the duo representation is totally unacceptable. the duo system as such is a unique competition format in sport family and should have better recognition from olympic movement. we also would like to know why the number of athletes to the wg had decreased??	1	6%	33%	100%
Valid	Valid	3	19%	100%	

Q6	Topic 3: Age Categories Objective: Adaption of Age System in JJIF Technical Congress propose to change the Age Categories: U12 U14 U16 U18 - Aspirants U21 - Juniors Seniors (+ 18years) Masters 1 (+35 y.) Masters 2 (+40 y.) Veterans 1(+45 y.) Veterans 2 (+50 y.) Further Information: <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf</a> Enter into force: 1.1.2019				
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	5	31%	42%	42%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	2	13%	17%	58%
	3 (No, we do not support and we propose)	5	31%	42%	100%
Valid	Valid	12	75%	100%	

Average	2.0	Std. deviation	1.0
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q6_3_text	Q6 (No, we do not support and we propose )				
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	u12 u14 u16 u18 - aspirants u20 - juniors - changed age seniors (+ 20 years) - changed age. juniors allowed to participate in seniors, but not in both categories in the same event masters 1 (+35 y.) masters 2 (+40 y.) veterans 1(+45 y.) veterans 2 (+50 y.) veterans 3 (=+55/+60 y.) - new age category - allows older athletes to compete safely, without too big age difference	1	6%	25%	25%
	we vote to remain the existing age categories (including u15). and we should have only one name for masters (i.e. remain masters and not veterans).	1	6%	25%	50%
	after seniors, the categories should be masters or veterans, with the ages you propose. we dont understand can masters to the first 2 and veterans to the last two, so please use one of these terms only	1	6%	25%	75%
	ok with the years categories, but dont think it is necessary to create masters and veterans, one designation is enough and less designations	1	6%	25%	100%
Valid	Valid	4	25%	100%	

<b>Topic 4.1 : Event Format based on new Age Categories (Question 1 of 2) Objective: Adaption of Age System in JJIF</b> <b>Supporter: Technical Congress 2018 Proposal (summary): The Technical Congress propose to cluster the Age Categories in following World Championships: Youth World Championship: U16 U18 – Aspirants Para Ju-Jitsu kids World Championship: U21 - Juniors Seniors (+ 18years) Masters 1 (+35 y.) Masters 2 (+40 y.) Veterans 1(+45 y.) Veterans 2 (+50 y.) Para Ju-Jitsu Including the opting for U21 to participate in Seniors on different competition days</b> <b>Further Information: <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf</a> Plan 2019: Youth World Championship 22.-24. March in Greece / World Championship 15.-24. Nov. Abu Dhabi</b> <b>Enter into force: 1.1.2019.</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
Q7	1 (YES, we support)	2	13%	18%	18%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	9%	27%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	8	50%	73%	100%
Valid	Valid	11	69%	100%	

Average	2.5	Std. deviation	0.8
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q7_3_text	Q7 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )				
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	our oppenion the age groups changeing is a good idea. the 3 borning years in 1 age category is too mach. between the fighters has too big difference in u18. but this time is too late the change the rules to the next year. we can support the changeing after 2020.	1	6%	13%	13%
	we dont think it will be a real world championship for youth because most federation, dont have money to support youth. therefore the world will not be representative to a world championship. for youth i should be continental championship.	1	6%	13%	25%
	we suggest for masters the regular naming, so only masters male and female, no naming like veterans (this are people who fight in war or other viol-inced environments)	1	6%	13%	38%
	there is nothing wrong with existing competition format bay age categories. having juniors and seniors in one event is not logical and will harm both categories! juniors wanting to compete in seniors in day a two after juniors' categories will not have enough time for regeneration and will risk injury and lower results. and potentially we can expect less juniors as they would rather go to senior titles. no one is having benefit of that kind of distribution.	1	6%	13%	50%
	we would propose different events for masters 1 (+35 y.) masters 2 (+40 y.) veterans 1(+45 y.) veterans 2 (+50 y.) to keep the tournaments smaller	1	6%	13%	63%
	dont think its a good ideia to put together u21 and seniores, once the u21 will not be able to participate in good conditions in seniores	1	6%	13%	75%
	juniors should not be allowed to participate both as juniors and seniors in the same event. possible problems: 1. not serious when presenting the results to the ministry of sports. decreases the value of the medals, if an athlete can win 2, in different age categories, in the same event. gives the impression the quality of the athletes is not high enough. 2. unfair to the other age categories. or are u16 athletes to compete in both u16 and u18 in the same event? 3. risk of injury in the competition as junior, but already paid hotel for other 3 days + competition fee as senior. or risk of aggravating the original injury, by continuing to compete.	1	6%	13%	88%
	we would prefer having the u21 be in the youth championship. this would give some of the u21 participants the possibility to also compete in the senior world championship at another time of the year.	1	6%	13%	100%

Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%	
-------	-------	---	-----	------	--

Q21_2	<b>Topic 4.2 : Event Format based on new Age Categories (Question 2 of 2)</b> This is a JJEU Board's proposal for JJEU events in 2019 <b>Introduction: JJEU Calendar for 2019 is already set and organisers of championships have been chosen - based on the approved competition format. They already received confirmation from the Board and started their activities after the decision-confirmation from the JJEU Board from June 2018. JJEU has also chosen organisers for the championships 2020. Whatever we change will have practical consequences to the organisers. Conditions what to organise were part of the agreements with the organisers.</b> Proposal (summary): in the JJEU Calendar for 2019 we have European Senior and Masters Championship end of May/beginning of June in Bucharest, Romania; Championship in and Aspirants & Juniors (U18-U21) in October in St. Petersburg, Russia. Seniors & Masters organiser in 2020 is Austria. The Board believes that we should remain at the format of championships for 2019 and 2020 as follows ("old format"):JJEU Aspirants and Juniors Championship (2019-2020): U18 – Aspirants U21 - Juniors Para Ju-Jitsu JJEU Senior Championship (2019-2020): Seniors Masters Para Ju-Jitsu Format of the U16 events to be decided. .					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		1 (YES, we support proposal nothing to change for JJEU in 2019-2020)	8	50%	73%	73%
		2 (Neutral )	1	6%	9%	82%
		3 (NO, we propose following:)	2	13%	18%	100%
Valid	Valid	11	69%	100%		

Average	1.5	Std. deviation	0.8
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q21_2_3_text	<b>Q21_2 (NO, we propose following: )</b>					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		para ju_jitsu in addition to u18 & u21 migh be a challenge in terms of organisation.	1	6%	50%	50%
		keep the format as jjif. so u16 & u18 as well as seniors & u21 together.	1	6%	50%	100%
	Valid	Valid	2	13%	100%	

<b>Topic 5: Sporting Code (Format) Objective: Harmonization of JJIF rules and regulations</b> <b>Supporter: Technical Congress 2018</b> <b>Proposal (summary): The Technical Congress propose to accept the Draft, summarized Sporting Code and related rules in new format and layout. This is not subject of changes but some contradictions and outdated regulations will be erased. Further Information: Document with comments and explanations</b> <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/ORGANIZATION_CODE_CB_Comments.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/ORGANIZATION_CODE_CB_Comments.pdf</a> Enter into force: 1.1.2019.					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	5	31%	63%	63%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	13%	75%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	2	13%	25%	100%
Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%	

Average	1.6	Std. deviation	0.9
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q8_3_text Q8 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	5-3-2 what is the reason for not having parity between men (10) and women (7) ? number of participants from the same country in the grand slam tournaments should be specified (see comments in 6-12 below) 5-6-1 we believe that the weigh in should take place before the draw. this would avoid ending up sometimes with competition tables with several "kiken gashi" fights because the competitor didn't show or didn't pass the weight. and avoid a possible redraw (point 6-2)	1	6%	50%	50%
	many proposals here are adresing sport code so we expect to have more time before the preparation of the draft. not consistent.	1	6%	50%	100%
Valid	Valid	2	13%	100%	

<b>Q9</b> Topic 6: Add to Sporting Code Competition Rules the option for a Countdown System for competitors to attend the tatami Objective: Less time waiting for competitors and clear regulation for time keepingSupporter: Technical Congress 2018Proposal (summary): The Technical Congress propose to install and use a countdown system by the JJIF software. If the countdown is lapsed the competitor is automatically disqualified for this fight. (Fusen-Gachi). Use instead of “three call” regulation It is only allowed to use the countdown when the “Show Fight” system is running properly and the schedule is visible in advance. The call room must be clear organized. Enter into force: 1.1.2019.					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	6	38%	60%	60%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	0	0%	0%	60%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	4	25%	40%	100%
Valid	Valid	10	63%	100%	

Average	1.8	Std. deviation	1.0
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q9_3_text</b> Q9 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	old system	1	6%	33%	33%
	old system is more secure to athletes, despite can take longer	1	6%	33%	67%
	we do not need to change a rule just because we would like to introduce countdown system. but if we really want to save time we have to have three calls and additionally also software reminder so the final decision is transparent and objective.	1	6%	33%	100%
Valid	Valid	3	19%	100%	



<b>Q10</b> <b>Topic 7: Sporting Code Competition Rules: Draw Seeding Regulation Objective: The existing Seeding regulations need to be prioritized</b> <b>Supporter: JJIF IT team</b> <b>Proposal (summary): Seeding in World Championship and Continental Championship (Grand Slam) - Top class tournaments with a limitation of 2 entries per club/ country</b> <b>1st criteria: Competitors from same country will meet in Semi Final (even if both are seeded) 2nd criteria: Ranking List (1st 4 present) 3rd criteria: Bye in 1st round for seeded competitors if possible (as used in many other combat sports)</b> <b>Proposal for Seeding at Ranking tournaments</b> <b>1st criteria: Ranking List (1st 4 present) 2nd criteria: Bye for seeded competitors bye in first round for seeded competitors if possible. Further Information: The Technical Congress recommend not to determine the "bye" to separate athletes form the same JJNO has a minor priority as well. See: <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf</a> Enter into force: 1.1.2019.</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	4	25%	44%	44%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	11%	56%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	4	25%	44%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%	

Average	2.0	Std. deviation	1.0
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q10_3_text</b> <b>Q10 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	we propose for all championships    1st criteria: ranking list (1st 4 present)    2nd criteria: bye for seeded competitors bye in first round for seeded competitors if possible	1	6%	33%	33%
	proposal as written sounds as a match fixing proposal. we must have clear and simple rules. we propose for ranking events: first criteria: seeding by ranking list (first four present) second criteria: seeding by countries. for non-ranking events we have seeding by countries. and nothing else.	1	6%	33%	67%
	1st criteria: ranking list (1st 4 present)    2nd criteria: competitors from same country will be splitted (if they are seed then rule one wins. and they end up in the same pool) explanation: this is the same in other sports like judo. there 2 athletes from the same country never meet in the semi final, but only in final. the reason is that match fixing is more likely here, since people in the final will have for sure high ranking points and people that loose the semi final might end up on place 5.	1	6%	33%	100%
Valid	Valid	3	19%	100%	

<b>Q11</b> Topic 8: Add to Sporting Code Competition Rules: “Draw en block” Objective: more effective Organisation of Competitions Supporter: Technical Congress 2018 Proposal (summary): The Technical Congress propose to explicitly allow the draw en block for JJIF competition. In this case a specific control time (1 hour) for possible mistakes and corrections shall be given. “En Block” draws can be made as public draw by online conference / live stream as well. Further Information: Document with comments and explanations Enter into force: 1.1.2019.					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	3	19%	38%	38%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	2	13%	25%	63%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	3	19%	38%	100%
Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%	

Average	2.0	Std. deviation	0.9
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q11_3_text</b> Q11 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	not clear enough. draw must be public (how to arrange that is a question of organisation). we agree to option to have a block draw en-block but also minimum procedural conditions must be set: like sport director and referee director etc. must be present.... we agreed to set a date for final registration and also a draw can be made before the last night. procedures to fix mistakes and what mistakes can be fixed need to be in place. we propose that once the draw is made no changes (even if someone is missing etc!)! having now the sportdata platform many things are much easier and should reduce problems.	1	6%	33%	33%
	we like the idea, but we want to have more regulations about the way of publishing, since we are afraid to loose transparency.	1	6%	33%	67%
	ok with the proposal, with the observation that instead of 1 hour control time, it should be a clear hour. for example, initial draw will be published at 19:00. changes can be requested until 20:30. final draw will be published at 21:30. in this way, we avoid people missing the 1-hour slot.	1	6%	33%	100%
Valid	Valid	3	19%	100%	

Q12	<p><b>Topic 9: Changes of Jiu-Jitsu (Ne-Waza) Rules Objective: actualization and update In order to keep our rules updated in face of game evolution of top level athletes</b>  <b>Supporter: Technical Congress 2018Proposal (summary):</b> 1. Grammatical review and change some terms and definitions to better and no ambiguous understanding 2. New gesture when an athlete grabs the opponent's sleeves or pant legs with one or more fingers placed inside the garment 3. While in any position starting from guard, where the athletes remain on their feet for 3 seconds, the combat shall then be considered standing combat 4. If while attacking from top position, such as an armbar, for example, the athlete ends up on bottom and does not use his/her legs to prevent the opponent from reaching side-control, no points or advantages shall be awarded for the guard pass, according to the definition of guard 5. When an athlete applies a takedown or series of takedowns and the opponent returns to their feet before stabilization is achieved on the ground. The advantage shall only be awarded when there is no longer a possibility of completing the takedown attempt 6. When the athlete passing guard stacks the opponent, or allows the opponent to roll back his/herself, controlling the opponent's back in four-point-kneeling position and maintaining at least one knee on the ground, without needing to place hooks: <b>ADVANTAGE 7.</b> When starting from guard (or from pulling guard) the athlete achieves half-guard control over the opponent, but does not solidify the pass in the ensuing sequence: <b>ADVANTAGE 8.</b> When the athlete unbalances the opponent through a sweep attempt and reaches top position, but is unable to stabilize the position for 3 seconds: <b>ADVANTAGE 9.</b> The athlete who initiates a sweep movement, but deliberately gives up the top position in order to preserve a defensive position, shall not be awarded an advantage for the sweep 10. No advantage shall be awarded when an athlete attempting a single-leg takedown traps the opponent's leg and drags the opponent to outside the combat area, obliging the referee to interrupt the combat. 11. (NEW TEXT ADD 20 SEC FOR PANTS ADJUST) When an athlete takes more than 20 seconds to re-adjust their(his/her) uniform, they shall be penalized in a consecutive manner (20 seconds for Gi top and belt, 20 seconds for identification belt, and 20 seconds for tying the drawstring of the pants, when necessary) 12. When being attacked by a submission hold an athlete commits a penalty that obliges the referee to interrupt the match: <b>SEVERE FOUL</b> 13. Stalling 10 seconds 14. Mandatory 1 m safety area 15. Appendix at end of the Rules book with uniform requirements, weight divisions and weight-in rules 16. Golden Score in case of draw in finals.<b>Enter into force: 1.1.2019.</b></p>					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		1 (YES, we support)	3	19%	38%	38%
		2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	4	25%	50%	88%
		3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	1	6%	13%	100%
Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%		

Average	1.8	Std. deviation	0.7
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q12_3_text	Q12 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	the time for a newaza match is to long.	1	6%	100%	100%
Valid	Valid	1	6%	100%	

<b>Topic 10: JJIF Show system Objective: More clear judging, less repeating of the show presentation during a competition</b> <b>Supporter: Technical Congress 2018 Proposal (summary): Change rules as presented</b> <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Show_System_Proposal_JJIF_2019.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Show_System_Proposal_JJIF_2019.pdf</a>					
<b>Proposal 1 - 2 rounds Elimination all team present and get points best 4 teams go in a final round to go for 1st 2nd 3rd 3rd</b> <b>Proposal 2 - Pools of 3/4 In general competition between 4 teams 1st Main breach 2nd Repechage 3rd and 4th are out</b> <b>Rules Proposal:</b> <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Show_System_Proposal_JJIF_2019.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Show_System_Proposal_JJIF_2019.pdf</a>					
<b>Enter into force: 1.1.2019.</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	1	6%	13%	13%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	13%	25%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	6	38%	75%	100%
Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%	

Average	2.6	Std. deviation	0.7
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q13_3_text Q13 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	the jjif show system is nice an funny, but has no sporting legitimacy and should not be a official disciplin at world and continental championships	1	6%	17%	17%
	show system is not a serious competition system so it should go out of the competition format! it is a mock to the athletes from other system and a joke when comparing. it can be a nice demonstration tool but not an introduction to duo – we have made so many changes last years in do to come closer to newcomers.	1	6%	17%	33%
	we support proposal 2	1	6%	17%	50%
	we support proposal 1	1	6%	17%	67%
	overall we are not in favor keeping duo show at all in official championships. this practice was introduced a few years ago as a test to attract asian countries. where are we today? we believe that looking at the number of countries and competitors doing it would demonstrate that it should be removed. will the jno's have one day the possibility to make a vote on this?	1	6%	17%	83%
	we like to get right of show. its not from any added value to jujitsu.	1	6%	17%	100%
Valid	Valid	6	38%	100%	

<b>Q14</b> <b>Topic 11.1: JJIF Appeal Regulation (Question 1 of 2) Objective: Legal base for protest and appeal in JJIF competition</b> <b>Supporter: JJIF Board / Technical Congress 2018 Proposal: (summary) 1) Approve the presented rules for apples in competitions without Video control. Appeal Rules Proposal:</b> <b><a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/JJIF_Compensation_Appeal_Rules_Nuvin2018-Sep.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/JJIF_Compensation_Appeal_Rules_Nuvin2018-Sep.pdf</a></b> <b>Enter into force: 1.1.2019.</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	5	31%	56%	56%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	2	13%	22%	78%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	2	13%	22%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%	

Average	1.7	Std. deviation	0.9
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q14_3_text</b> <b>Q14 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	basic principles of the appeal system: 1. only coach (no discussion is allowed with athlete – athlete is there to fight, coach is there to take care of the athlete’s interest). 2. appeal must be announced at once – having appeal at the end dose not save time not help the transparency of problem solving and final decision. 3. use of official video system is allowed. 4. if coach asks for video and appeal is rejected, he/she loses the right to call for video again in the same match. 5. we need to define the scope of the appeal (what can you appeal on and what not.	1	6%	50%	50%
	we should make sure that the appeal committee is appointed before the championship starts and the composition clearly communicated to the coaches.	1	6%	50%	100%
Valid	Valid	2	13%	100%	

<b>Topic 11.2: JJIF Appeal Regulation (Question 2 of 2) Objective: Legal base for protest and appeal in JJIF competition - right to appeal</b> <b>Supporter: JJIF Board / Technical Congress 2018 Proposal (summary): For competition with Video Review system: Proposal 1: Coach can ask for Video Review in the end of the match before the winner is announced. Proposal 2: Coach has one chance to ask for review in the match when the “mistake” happened (keeps the appeal right if there was a wrong judgement). Proposal 3: Athlete has the possibility to reject the coach’s request (coach may only protest if athlete agrees). Enter into force: 1.1.2019 .</b>					
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	1 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	13%	13%
	2 (Our position to the right on the appeal is:)	7	44%	88%	100%
Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%	

Average	1.9	Std. deviation	0.4
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q15_2_text</b>	<b>Q15 (Our position to the right on the appeal is: )</b>				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	we want proposal 2: coach has one chance to ask for review in the match when the “mistake” happened (keeps the appeal right if there was a wrong judgment). proposal 3: athlete has the possibility to reject the coachs request (coach may only protest if athlete agrees).	1	6%	14%	14%
	basic principles of the appeal system: 1. only coach (no discussion is allowed with athlete – athlete is there to fight, coach is there to take care of the athlete’s interest). 2. appeal must be announced at once – having appeal at the end dose not save time not help the transparency of problem solving and final decision. 3. use of official video system is allowed. 4. if coach asks for video and appeal is rejected, he/she loses the right to call for video again in the same match. 5. we need to define the scope of the appeal (what can you appeal on and what not.	1	6%	14%	29%
	we are in favor. so it should be a yes and proposal 2	1	6%	14%	43%
	proposal 2. but if the coach has right about the mistake, the have the possibility to make another protest (like in taekwondo i think)	1	6%	14%	57%
	we support the proposal 1.	1	6%	14%	71%
	proposal 2	1	6%	14%	86%
	proposal 2, with clear guidelines how the coach can ask for the video review and making sure that the match does not continue, with other points being given. if the match continued and points were given, those points should be analyzed from the perspective of would that action had taken place, if the first action was wrong?	1	6%	14%	100%
Valid	Valid	7	44%	100%	

Q16	<b>Topic 12.1: Ranking and Competition Format (Question 1 of 2) Objective: Better definition of the JJIF events and Competitions Supporter: Technical Congress 2018 Proposal (summary): 1. The Technical Congress proposes to approve the presented Ranking and Qualification rules 2. The standards for events shall be determined following the principals to separate Grand Slams Limited number of Participants per country per category [2] Seeding according to World Ranking list Content Rights are with JJIF Marketing rights Split Organization: LOC with JJIF OC Proper Media activity obligatory (produced content, TV, streaming, branding...) Prize money [?] % to JJIF according to financial Rules incl. Doping Free contribution One international referee per mat covered by organizer (JJIF Financial rules) Use of SportDataRegional Championships Under the Regional Association (JJRA) Open to all JJIF members No limits in participants Referee, ITO, Coaches course and examination if possible (part of financial planning) One JJIF/ Union official covered by organizer (JJIF financial rules) Use of SportData Doping Free contribution Events will be assigned to Local Organizer according to Bidding Process Further Information: Draft of JJIF Ranking and qualification: <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Ranking_Qualification_2019_draft.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Ranking_Qualification_2019_draft.pdf</a> Enter into force: 1.1.2019 .</b>					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		1 (YES, we support)	3	19%	33%	33%
		2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	11%	44%
		3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	5	31%	56%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%		

Average	2.2	Std. deviation	1.0
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q16_3_text</b>	<b>Q16 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	we think that this strict rules, would destroy tournaments like paris, balkan and german open	1	6%	20%	20%
	like our oppenion the grand slam system in europe works good and on high quality. if it not works around the world they can use the european standard. it is not problem but if something is good why must change the details?	1	6%	20%	40%
	proposal need some refining, especially about multisport events, points given to regional championship, comparison between continental championship and grand slams.	1	6%	20%	60%
	we suppose that he limited number of participants per country (2) in grand slam means the number of competitors being counted in the ranking points and not the number of participants taking part in the competition? if there are more than two participants from the same country on the podium this system might be difficult to manage because the coach will have to decide which athlete to be counted in the ranking given that the grand slam tournaments bring points for the world games, we believe that there should not be more that 4 participants for both the organizing country and the participating countries. if this rule was not respected by a country (organizing or participating) in one category, the points possibly gained by this country in this category should not be counted. price money? if the organizer must pay a fee to jjif, then pay price money to the winners, and take in charge the travel and accommodation of referees, this can make it too much too support for most countries.	1	6%	20%	80%
	we agree with the proposal, provided that the following points are clarified: - organization together with jjif oc - who has what responsibilities/authority etc - what is anti-doping contribution? is it in money? (how much?) is it in tests performed at the competition? (how many?) - proper media activity - is live streaming enough? would there be support for the jjif marketing commission?	1	6%	20%	100%
Valid	Valid	5	31%	100%	

Q21_3	<b>Topic 12.1: Ranking and Competition Format (Question 2 of 2) This is a JJEU Board's proposal (related to Grand Slams in Europe) Proposal (summary): In Europe, as the most active part of the JJIF, covering all disciplines and age categories, we would like to maintain three Grand Slams:1) first in first quarter - Paris Open, 2) second in last quarter - German Open, 3) third - Balkan Open. JJIF Grand Slams are demanding to organise and should remain on a high level of organisation. Even in Europe we did not always meet all criteria (Germany will increase the quality in following year) but the JJEU Board believes that we can advocate to JJIF that traditionally two strongest tournaments in Europe are in France and Germany (by the number of athletes, organisation and logistical capabilities, service they provided etc.). We also believe it is important to support our members beyond central Europe so Balkan Open is one of possible choices, although is not in the best period of the year - very close to German Open and with small representations in senior categories. But the dates can be changed of course. For other events the JJEU propose to use European Cup system in different styles as an opportunity for other organisers and members. We also must identify our events with our active members who are capable to organise events on a expected level. At this point we did not consider other options if some other high level opportunity shows up. .</b>					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		1 (YES, we support)	6	38%	67%	67%
		2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	0	0%	0%	67%
		3 (NO, we do not support - we propose following Grand Slams format in Europe:)	3	19%	33%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%		

Average	1.7	Std. deviation	1.0
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q21_3_3_text	<b>Q21_3 (NO, we do not support - we propose following Grand Slams format in Europe: )</b>				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	the grand slams are fine, but we can not afford to run a german open with the requirements given in topic 12.1. if 12.1 will be decided the german open will apply to become a regional championships and not a grand slam.	1	6%	33%	33%
	it cost all a lot of money, and our clubs and federations are always willing, because they are afraid to mis points and interesting fights. so we propose only 2 grand slams in europe, 1 in the first half of the year and one in the 2nd half of the year. its not interesting which one will be deleted, but we have a favor for balkan open to stay, because of the youth categories. and propose balkan open to first half of year and german open or paris open 2nd half of year where in odd years german open can be a gs and the other paris open, if they follow all the rules of jjif like using the correct software, have a correct referee in charge (for many years a problem in france)	1	6%	33%	67%
	why should the tournament be at fixed places? i dont thing there where grand slam class of german open 2018. lot of top fighters was not present and the facility (hall) was not good enough.	1	6%	33%	100%
Valid	Valid	3	19%	100%	



<b>Q17</b> <b>Topic 13: JJIF Special Competition Team Objective: Give athletes without JJNO the chance to participate in events (Refugee team)Supporter: Technical Congress 2018 Proposal (summary): The Technical Congress propose to add a JJIF team to the Right to participate. This team members shall be individually approved by JJIF board and /or technical commission. Medals will not be part of team Rankings. displaced athletes (Refugee team) JJNO is not existing, inactive or suspended JJNO is in specific dispute (like 2 federations) athletes nationality cannot be determined. duo Athletes are from different countries change of nationality is in process resident and training in another country without any link to the JJNO of nationality Further Information: <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf</a> Enter into force: 1.1.2019.</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	2	13%	25%	25%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	2	13%	25%	50%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	4	25%	50%	100%
Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%	

Average	2.3	Std. deviation	0.9
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q17_3_text</b> <b>Q17 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	we support in genera but not we dont want: 3. jjno is in specific dispute (like 2 federations) 5. duo athletes are from different countries	1	6%	25%	25%
	6. change of nationality is in process... it should not be possible for a country to buy an team from another countrys by give them a quick change of nationality.	1	6%	25%	50%
	we need to define more clear rules and regulations (and limitations) when an d who can participate under jjif flag. the jjif congress cannot rule on the proposals as it is an idea which needs refining as it is to serious to take it lightly.	1	6%	25%	75%
Valid	Valid	4	25%	100%	

Q18	<b>Topic 14: Martial Art, Styles and Grading in JJIF</b> Objective: Offer international approved Grades to the JJIF members and give the opportunity for different styles of Ju-Jitsu to register in JJIF and get JJIF approvalSupporter: Technical Congress 2018. Proposal (summary): The Technical Congress propose to: a) approve the presented grading regulations for Dan degrees b) create a department for Martial Art and Education in JJIF c) taking care of the recognition of Ju-Jitsu styles as approved under JJIF, developing standards and rules for this process d) create/ improve JJIF model programs for national federations and Ju-Jitsu schoolsDan Grading Rules with comments: <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/dan_system-v21.11.17__review_np_1_.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/dan_system-v21.11.17__review_np_1_.pdf</a> <a href="http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf">http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/Congress_Results.pdf</a> Enter into force: 1.1.2019.					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		1 (YES, we support)	5	31%	63%	63%
		2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	2	13%	25%	88%
		3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	1	6%	13%	100%
Valid	Valid	8	50%	100%		

Average	1.5	Std. deviation	0.8
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q18_3_text	<b>Q18 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	we do not need other departments if we do not know what existing departments should work. we consider this as an idea which is not prepared for the congress to make a vote on it. we made a mess out of ju-jitsu, not having a clear strategy what we want, mixing styles, disciplines etc.	1	6%	100%	100%
Valid	Valid	1	6%	100%	

<b>Q19</b> Topic 15: JJIF Referee selection and Organisation Objective: Better working conditions for RefereesSupporter: JJIF Board / Technical Congress 2018 Proposal (summary): 1) Participation Fee for Competitions shall be determinate on base of a budget 2) The tournament budget shall include travel, hotel and per diem for the referee (estimated \$100,00 per participant) 3) Technical commission will invite on base of referee ranking, country, gender and continental representation 4) JJNO are responsible to have a referee programs - the JJIF can sanction JJNO if there is no development of refereesEnter into force: 1.1.2019.					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	1 (YES, we support)	4	25%	44%	44%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	11%	56%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	4	25%	44%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%	

Average	2.0	Std. deviation	1.0
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q19_3_text</b> Q19 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )					
	Answers	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative
	agree with the points, but the please keep in mind the diference between countries budget and / or number of athletes who are participating	1	6%	25%	25%
	the tournament budget shall include travel, hotel and per diem for the referee (estimated \$100,00 per participant) we are not against, but worried about there is the economic space in the tournaments to pay hotels.	1	6%	25%	50%
	we have to do something for the refrees honoring but at this moment the cost of competating is steel very high, so i am very exciting to wait the good solutions to this.	1	6%	25%	75%
	we have no problem to change rules but again – politics and expertise (professionalism) are mixing too much. we recognize a real need to improve referee performance, education, training... but building rules without asking referees is not a way. the proposal is pushing agenda of the board, based on some previous experience, where we do not see a progress. we recognise the effort for preparing a draft.	1	6%	25%	100%
Valid	Valid	4	25%	100%	

<b>Q20</b>	<b>Topic 16: JJIF Referee rules Objective: update and clarificationSupporter JJIF Board Proposal (summary): Approval of the referee rules presented to the Session 2018 and approved by JJIF board in April 2018 Remarks: The group of referee presented in Technical Congress 2018 recommends to have neither physical tests nor age limit for refereeing Licenses shall not be withdrawn but the selection for events not based on the license level but on the ranking of referee The upgrading of license shall not depend on time only on performance! Further Information: Collection of board decisions regarding referee workhttp://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/TC-Athens/JJIF_decisions_referee_.pdf Draft-Referee rules Version 2019 http://www.jjif.org/fileadmin/JJIF/events/2018/Referee_Rules_2018.2.pdfEnter into force: immediately.</b>				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	1 (YES, we support)	4	25%	44%	44%
	2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	3	19%	33%	78%
	3 (NO, we do not support - we propose:)	2	13%	22%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%	

Average	1.8	Std. deviation	0.8
---------	-----	----------------	-----

<b>Q20_3_text</b>	<b>Q20 (NO, we do not support - we propose: )</b>				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
	we have no problem to change rules but again – politics and expertise (professionalism) are mixing too much. we recognize a real need to improve referee performance, education, training... but building rules without asking referees is not a way. the proposal is pushing agenda of the board, based on some previous experience, where we do not see a progress. we recognise the effort for preparing a draft, we would appreciate more expert involvement and consistency.	1	6%	50%	50%
	we would like to have an age limit. the rest we like	1	6%	50%	100%
Valid	Valid	2	13%	100%	

Q21	<b>Topic 17: Biding process for organisers of the events under JJEU</b> This is a JJEU Board's proposal <b>Introduction: Decision to grant organisation of the event to our member is usually based on previous experiences with organisation of the events, but mostly with the presentation of the event before the final decision is made (this has the biggest impact). In the presentation organisers try to show the best possible service, logistical issues, transport opportunities, sport hall standards and related prices. But, sometimes, the service received/delivered is not the service promised. And that is not fair to the participating individuals and federations and put JJEU Board in difficult position. The position of the JJEU is that organisers must fulfil their obligations as stated in the contract and invitation and JJEU shall enforce sanctions to organisers who do not deliver what was promised and paid.</b> Proposal (summary): JJEU Board would like to formalise biding process in a way to provide standard form for candidates (with requirements, checklists and conditions for event) to make the preparation process of candidature easier. And finally, what is promised in the presentation are conditions which JJEU is expected to meet on the spot (and those conditions will be part of the contract). .					
		<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
		1 (YES, we support)	8	50%	89%	89%
		2 (Neutral (it does not matter to our federation))	1	6%	11%	100%
		3 (Other:NO, we do not support - we propose:)	0	0%	0%	100%
Valid	Valid	9	56%	100%		

Average	1.1	Std. deviation	0.3
---------	-----	----------------	-----

Q21_3_text	Q21 (Other:NO, we do not support - we propose: )				
	<b>Answers</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>